

Taylor J. Wright (California State Bar No. 288609)
E-mail: twright@mabr.com

MASCHOFF BRENNAN

20 Pacifica, Suite 1130
Irvine, California 92618
Telephone: (949) 202-1900
Facsimile: (949) 453-1104

Attorney for Plaintiff Sundesa, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Sundesa, LLC, a Utah Limited Liability
Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

Sunvalley Enterprises L.L.C., a Nevada
limited liability company,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-2254

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

Complaint Filed: March 26, 2015
Trial Date: Not Assigned

TO THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this action is related to the following actions filed previously, or concurrently herewith, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

1. *Sundesa, LLC v. Atlantic Pro-Nutrients, Inc.* (SACV13-01071 JVS (RNBx))
2. *Sundesa, LLC v. Supplementwarehouse.com, Inc.* (SACV13-01083 JVS (RNBx))
3. *Sundesa, LLC v. Max Performance Supplements, LLC* (SACV13-01084 AG (JPRx))

4. *Sundesha, LLC v. I A Nutrition, Inc.* (SACV13-01085 JST (JPRx))
5. *Sundesha, LLC v. Clean Designs, LLC* (SACV13-01086 JVS (JPRx))
6. *Sundesha, LLC v. TBCPM, L.L.C.* (SACV13-01088 CJC (JPRx))
7. *Sundesha, LLC v. Boelter Brands, LLC* (SACV13-01150 ABC (MANx))
8. *Sundesha, LLC v. Labrada Nutrition, Inc.* (SACV13-cv-01984 JLS (DFMx))
9. *Sundesha, LLC v. 310 Nutrition, LLC* (SACV13-cv-01985 JLS (RNBx))
10. *Sundesha, LLC v. Incline Health, Inc.* (SACV13-cv-01986 JLS (DFMx))
11. *Sundesha, LLC v. Nutrivo, LLC* (SACV13-cv-01987 AG (DFMx))
12. *Sundesha, LLC v. Harrison-Daniels Inc* (2:4-cv-08713-ODW-SH)
13. *Sundesha, LLC v. EHPLABS, LLC* (2:14-cv-05327-JVS-RNB)
14. *Sundesha, LLC v. Giant Sports Products, LLC* (case number not yet available;
filed concurrently with this action)
15. *Sundesha, LLC v. Nutrakey Inc.* (case number not yet available; filed
concurrently with this action)

The above-captioned action is related to the actions listed above because the Plaintiff is the same and United States Patent No. 6.379,032 (the “’032 Patent”) is asserted against the defendant in each action. This case would also entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges because the accused products in each case are nearly identical to each other.

DATED: March 26, 2015

Taylor J. Wright
MASCHOFF BRENNAN

By: /s/ Taylor J. Wright
Taylor J. Wright

Attorney for Plaintiff
SUNDESA, LLC